

# Histopathological diagnosis of CUP

Dr Karin Oien

karin.oien@glasgow.ac.uk



- Dr Karin Oien has no financial interests in any company mentioned in this presentation.
- Dr Karin Oien is conducting research in collaboration with BioTheranostics and with the research group of Professor David Bowtell; their contribution to the study is intellectual and to provide molecular analyses but not otherwise financial.



## Outline



- Pathological approach to cancer classification in general; and thus...
- Pathological approach to CUP incl. IHC
  Developments
- Molecular pathology for CUP



Pathology aims: **1.Identification** of disease 2.Diagnosis **3.**Prognosis: prediction of outcome 4.Prediction of treatment benefit



Pathology aims: **1.Identification** of disease 2. Diagnosis **3.**Prognosis: prediction of outcome 4. Prediction of treatment benefit

Cancer classification based on: 1.Where is the tumour? 2.What does tumour look like? 3.Now, what is its molecular profile?



Pathology aims: **1.Identification** of disease 2. Diagnosis **3.**Prognosis: prediction of outcome 4.Prediction of treatment benefit

Cancer classification based on: 1.Where is the tumour? 2.What does tumour look like? 3.Now, what is its molecular profile?

Establishing cancer classification i.e. diagnosis, prognosis & prediction, is therefore a main aim of cancer pathology.



 Based on resemblance to normal tissue counterpart by morphology



Normal colon lining for comparison





- Based on resemblance to normal tissue counterpart by morphology
- Classification by type & site is current initial predictor of outcome/treatment response

Normal liver this end Abnormality this end: metastatic colon cancer

Normal colon lining for comparison







_		
		_
	_	
	_	
		_
		_

- Tumours are derived from specific tissues
- Normal tissue-specific morphology and gene expression is partly retained in cancers, both primary and metastatic
- These tissue-specific markers can be used for cancer classification
  - Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for protein (standard in pathology)
  - Molecular profiling for RNA
- Used in panels of multiple markers



## Outline

۲



- Pathological approach to cancer classification in general; and thus...
- Pathological approach to CUP incl. IHC
  - Developments
- Molecular pathology for CUP



# CUP: clinical and pathological problem



- CUP occurs mainly in common sites of metastasis:
  - Solid organs: liver, lung, bone, brain
  - Lymph nodes
  - Serous cavities
- But "uncertain" tumours, metastatic or primary, may occur anywhere
  - Clinical & pathological work-up similar
- Aim of pathology in CUP is optimal tumour classification to enable optimal patient management...



Classification of cancer including CUP: A stepwise pathological approach..plus IHC...

## Step 1: identify broad cancer type

- Carcinoma
- Melanoma
- Lymphoma/ leukaemia
- Sarcoma
- (Neuro-glial tumours)



## Step 1: Identify broad cancer type





## Step 1: Identify broad cancer type





Immunohistochemistry for CUP: Step 1: Identify broad cancer type

Carcinoma	Cytokeratins and other epithelial markers e.g. <b>AE1/3</b> , CK7, CK20, CK5, EMA
Melanoma	<b>S100</b> , Melan-A, HMB45
Lymphoma/ leukaemia	<b>CLA</b> , CD20, CD3, CD138, CD30 etc.
Sarcoma	Vimentin, actin, desmin, S100, c-kit etc



Immunohistochemistry for CUP: Step 1: Identify broad cancer type







- CUP equates to carcinoma
- Other broad tumour types (lymphoma, melanoma, sarcoma etc) excluded from CUP by definition but in practice need considered and excluded during work-up of uncertain tumours, metastatic or primary



Classification of cancer including CUP: A stepwise pathological approach..plus IHC...

Step 1: identify broad cancer type

- Carcinoma
- Melanoma
- Lymphoma/ leukaemia
- Sarcoma
- (Neuro-glial tumours)

Step 2: if carcinoma or related, identify subtype

Adenocarcinoma Squamous ca.

Transitional ca.
 Solid organ ca.
 (hepatocellular, renal, thyroid, adrenal)
 Neuroendocrine ca.
 (Germ cell tumour)
 (Mesothelioma)



# CUP Step 2: Identify subtype of carcinoma





## CUP Step 2: Identify subtype of carcinoma





## Immunohistochemistry for CUP: Step 2: Identify subtype of carcinoma

Adenocarcinoma	CK7, CK20, PSA and other adenoca markers	
Squamous ca	СК5, р63	
Transitional ca	CK7, CK20, urothelin	
Neuroendocrine ca	Chromogranin, CD56, synaptophysin, TTF1	
Solid ca: renal	RCC, CD10, PAX8, Napsin A	
Solid ca: liver	Hepar1, CD10, glypican-3	
Solid ca: thyroid	<b>TTF1</b> , thyroglobulin, PAX8	
Solid ca: adrenal	Melan-A, inhibin	
(Germ cell tumour)	OCT4, PLAP, HCG, AFP	
(Mesothelioma)	Calretinin, mesothelin, WT1, D2-40	



Immunohistochemistry for CUP: Step 2: If carcinoma, identify subtype





Immunohistochemistry for CUP: Step 2: If carcinoma, identify subtype





## Common carcinoma subtypes in CUP





Classification of cancer including CUP: A stepwise pathological approach

Step 1: identify broad cancer type

- Carcinoma
- Melanoma
- Lymphoma/ leukaemia
- Sarcoma
- (Neuro-glial tumours)

Step 2: if carcinoma or related, identify subtype

- Adenocarcinoma
  - Squamous ca.
    - Transitional ca.
- Solid organ ca. (hepatocellular, renal, thyroid, adrenal)
  - Neuroendocrine ca.
  - (Germ cell tumour)
  - (Mesothelioma)

Step 3: if adenocarcinoma, predict primary site(s)

- Lung
- Pancreas
- Colon
- Stomach
- Breast
- Ovary
- Prostate, etc



Diagnostic approach: 3. If adenocarcinoma, predict primary site if possible



H&E morphology alone can predict primary site in up to 50% of cases (Sheahan. Am J Clin Pathol 1993)



# Diagnostic approach: 3. If adenocarcinoma, predict primary site if possible





Special stain shows mucin globules in signet ring cells

H&E morphology alone can predict primary site in up to 50% of cases (Sheahan. Am J Clin Pathol 1993)



# IHC: Step 3: If adenocarcinoma, predict possible primary site(s)

	PSA or NKX3.1	TTF1 or Napsin A	GCDFP- 15 or mamm aglobin	WT1	PAX8	ER	CA125	Meso- thelin	СК7	CDX2 and/or CK20
Prostate	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lung	-	+	-	-	-	-	-/+	-/+	+	-
Breast	-	-	+/-	-	-	+/-	-/+	-	+	-
Ovary serous	-	-	-	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	-
Ovary mucinous	-	-	-	-	-/+	-/+	-/+	-/+	-/+	-/+
Pancreas	-	-	-	-		-	+/-	+/-	+	-/+
Stomach	-	-	-	-		-	-	-/+	+/-	-/+
Colon	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-/+	+

= 90% or more, +/- = 50-90%, -/+ = 10-50%, - = 10% or less

Updated from Dennis, Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11(10):3766-3772.

## University of Glasgow



- CUP specimens are usually small needle biopsies or cytology specimens
  - May limit testing possible
- When do we biopsy metastases: early or late during initial investigation?
- Practice varies between pathologists and between cases
  - Some pathologists usually diagnose confidently on morphology alone, others routinely add IHC





- IHC is subjective: technical performance and microscopic interpretation varies (as does actual tissue expression), so IHC biomarkers used in panels
- IHC is selective: often limited tissue & time so only few biomarkers can be tested (7-8 is usual in CUP)
- In CUP, one barrier to correct tumour classification is simply not selecting & testing with most appropriate markers
  - Important for pathologist to request / apply the right biomarkers



# Can we quantify performance of current pathology incl. IHC in CUP classification?



- 5-6 studies identified in meta-analysis
  - (Difficult to study by definition)
- Sensitivity of IHC panels was consistent:
  - 82% in mixed primary and metastatic tumours
  - 66-70% in metastases alone
- Sets baseline for comparison



- After morphology, with IHC if needed, common diagnostic difficulties in classical tumour typing are with:
  - Limited viable tissue ("general tissue issues")
    - Small samples especially if further testing
       requested at end of pathology processes
    - Necrotic samples (tumour tissue "dead")







- After morphology, with IHC if needed, common diagnostic difficulties in classical tumour typing for CUP are with:
  - "Morphology issues"
    - Very poorly differentiated or undifferentiated ("anaplastic") cancers
    - Adenocarcinoma, even well-differentiated, without obvious primary site after testing
      - Pancreatico-biliary including cholangiocarcinoma
      - Gastro-oesophageal
      - Ovarian mucinous
      - Atypical tumours from lung, breast etc
- These are unmet clinical needs for e.g. molecular profiling to address

Dennis, Clin Cancer Res 2005; 11(10):3766-3772.



## Outline

۲



- Pathological approach to cancer classification in general; and thus...
- Pathological approach to CUP incl. IHC – Developments
- Molecular pathology for CUP





e.g. flowcharts

- "CUP" is a diagnosis of exclusion, at the end of pathological work-up
- In difficult cases, e.g. eventual CUP, systematic approach ensures all possibilities to be considered
- So most appropriate IHC markers can be requested (early) and assessed
  - To lead to tumour classification
- Guidelines & standards help e.g. NICE, ESMO, Peer Review, developing RCPath
- In clinical context: MDT



## New IHC biomarkers



- Emphasis on (nuclear) transcription factors
  - c.f. cytoplasmic and membranous proteins
- Examples
  - PAX8: ovary, kidney, thyroid
  - GATA3: breast & transitional carcinomas
  - SATB2: colorectal & renal
  - SF1: adrenal cortical carcinoma
  - (Arginase-1, uroplakin 2)



# Molecular profiling in CUP



- Large-scale profiling for CUP achieved at mRNA, miRNA, DNA and epigenetic levels
  - Molecular profiling for primary site esp. mRNA/miRNA
    - Augments existing classification?
  - Molecular analysis for actionable mutations
    - New classification/taxonomy

Image from Royal College of Pathologists; Pillai et al 2011, Stancel et al 2012, Erlander et al 2011, Meiri et al 2012





- "Different tissue types have distinct RNA profiles" (...or protein...etc)
- Yield tumour type, site and/or subtype i.e. classic taxonomy
- IHC and mRNA molecular profiling use similar tissue-specific genes:
  - Molecular profiling tests more genes and may be less subjective



## Tissue of Origin (TOO) test (Response Genetics, previously PathWorks Diagnostics)

### ResponseDX: Tissue of Origin Test

The ResponseDX: Tissue of Origin Test is a microarray-based gene expression test that aids in identifying challenging tumors, including metastatic, poorly differentiated, and undifferentiated cancers.

- · 2000 genes, covering 15 tumors types and 90% of all solid tumors'
- Only FDA-cleared test of its type, Medicare-approved
- · Performed on FFPE tissue at our CLIA certified, CAP accredited laboratory
- Extensive analytical and clinical validation
- + Statistically significant improvement in accuracy over other methods, including IHC<sup>4</sup>

### CHANGE IN DIAGNOSIS

### CHANGE IN THERAPY



Cancer Type ID (CTID) (bio-Theranostics)



# miRview mets2 (Rosetta Genomics)



http://rosettagenomics.com/

**Oncology Practice** 

http://www.responsegenetics.com/products-services/tissue-of-origin-testing/



# Molecular profiling for primary site: results



- 10% of tests yield no result
- Tumours difficult for morphology and IHC often also difficult for molecular profiling
- In poorly differentiated tumours, molecular profiling *may*:
  - Out-perform IHC by 10-20%
  - Change diagnosis in up to 50%
  - Affect patient management in most?
- Interpret in context of clinical and pathological findings





- "Clinical accuracy of all three tests is similar: 85-88%. Test accuracy in CUP cases is not easily determined, because actual TOO is not identified in most cases.
  - The evidence that the tests contribute to identifying a TOO is moderate.
- We do not have sufficient evidence to assess the effect of the tests on treatment decision and outcomes."
- Which should be **focus** of future research
- Utility, funding?

## 2013 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0073073/pdf/TOC.pdf



- New classification/taxonomy
- Approach? Panel?
  - e.g. FoundationOne, Caris, BioTheranostics



## Image from Royal College of Pathologists



### PROSPECTIVE IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIALLY ACTIONABLE MOLECULAR ALTERATIONS IN **CANCERS OF UNKNOWN PRIMARY**

Anna M. Varghese, David Hyman MD, Debyani Chakravarty PhD, Ahmet Zehir PhD, Efsevia Vakiani MD PhD, David Klimstra MD, Marc Ladanyi MD, Michael F. Berger PhD, David B. Solit MD, and Leonard B. Saltz MD

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

45%

Abstract # 4110

Clinical

Background ·Cancers of unknown primary (CUP) affect approximately 31,000 people annually in the United States. ·Patients with CUPs have a poor prognosis with survival ranging from 6-16 months. 23

•Recent findings have demonstrated that up to 85% of patients with CUPs have "actionable" alterations.45

 We hypothesize that a substantial percentage of tumors from patients with CUP could harbor potentially actionable and . clinically relevant alterations.

#### Ob ective

To perform prospective, comprehensive genomic characterization of confirmed cancers of unknown primary in order to identify actionable alterations.

 MSK-IMPACT is a comprehensive molecular profiling platform performed in a CLIA-compliant laboratory using targeted deep sequencing of 341 cancer-associated genes to identify sequence mutations, copy number alterations, and select rearrangements.6

 We identified patients with CUP who underwent MSK-IMPACT testing at MSKCC from 01/2014 through 04/2015.

·We excluded patients with a likely primary disease site based on subsequent pathologic and radiographic tests. •We collected tumor histology and defined potentially actionable alterations as those for which FDA-approved or investigational

targeted agents exist with varying levels of evidence.

<mark>.</mark>	loounto			
Clinical characteristics of patients with CUP				
	N	%		
Total	56			
Sex – M / F	32 / 24	57 / 43		
Race				
White / Black / Asian	47 / 2 / 1	84 / 4 / 1		
Unknown	6	11		
ECOG status				
0	13	23		
1	33	60		
2	1	1		
Unknown	9	16		
Median age at dx (yrs)	66 (range: 21 -	83)		



Genetic alterations in CUPs



Actionability in CUP				Actional	ole alteration	ns in	CUP
Level	Definition	Examples	Leve	el Gene	Alteration	#	Clini
Α	FDA-approved biomarker in another indication	BRAF V600E					Trial
в	Clinical evidence potentially links this biomarker to response	<i>PIK3CA</i> H1047R	Α	BRAF	V600E	3	Avai
С	Preclinical evidence potentially links this biomarker to	ERBB2 S310F		ERBB2	Amplification	2	
	response		в	BRAF	G469V	1	
D	No preclinical or clinical evidence to link this biomarker	TP53		CDK4	Amplification	1	
	to response	alterations		IDH2	R172W	1	
Provalance of notantially actionable alterations in CUP				PIK3CA	E545K	1	
Prevalence of potentially actionable alterations in		3 11 001			H1047R	1	
AKT1	2%		с	AKT1	E17K	1	
BRAF	11%			EGFR	Amplification	1	
CDK4	4%			ERBB2	S310F	1	
EGFR	13%			NF1	D618fs	1	
ERBB2	7%			PTEN	Deletion	3	
IDH2	2%			MTOR	D1468Y	1	
MTOR	4%		Clir	nical relev	ance for sele	ected	pati
NF1	7%		•80yo	male with BRA	FV600E-mutant	squam	ous ce
РІКЗСА	4%		CUP re	eceived vemur	afenib with 4 mon	ths sta	ble
PTEN	7%		•50yo 1	e. emale with Al	(T1 E17K-mutant	carcino	oma of
genetic alteration Amplification Deep Deletion Missense Mutation				geting her tun	or's AKT1 alterat	ion, aw	aiting
	Levels of actionability in CLIP		· solug				

40 35 **b** 15 Number 10 0 в С D Α

#### Available? V600E 3 Amplification 32 2 Ν G469V Amplification N 1 R172W CA E545K Y 1 H1047R E17K 1 Amplification S310F 1 Y 32 D618fs Deletion 3 D1468Y 1 Ν

**Results** 

#### evance for selected patients

AKT1 E17K-mutant carcinoma of recently began treatment on a clinical tumor's AKT1 alteration, awaiting

•32% of CUPs harbor potentially actionable alterations

 The implications of these potentially actionable alterations on treatment choices and clinical outcomes remain unknown.

#### References

1.Siegel et al. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians.2015. 2. Varadhachary et al. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014. 3.Pavlidis et al. Eur J Cancer. 2003 4. Tothill et al. Journal of Pathology. 2013 5.Ross et al. JAMA Oncology. 2015 6. Cheng et al. J Mol Diagn. 2015

## Conclusions

32% of CUPs harbor potentially actionable alterations.

 The implications of these potentially actionable alterations on treatment choices and clinical outcomes remain unknown.



From: Comprehensive Genomic Profiling of Carcinoma of Unknown Primary Site: New Routes to Targeted Therapies

JAMA Oncol. 2015;1(1):40-49. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2014.216



**Figure Legend:** 

Alteration Frequency of Key Genes in Cases of Adenocarcinoma of Unknown Primary Site (ACUP) vs non-ACUP

Copyright © 2015 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.





- Pathology, with IHC if needed, remains "gold standard" in tumour classification, especially where:
  - Tumour has obvious likely primary and/or
  - Tumour is at least moderately differentiated and/or
  - IHC results are classical and/or
  - The clinical context is appropriate
- Optimal pathology enabled by:
  - Standardised approach incl. IHC
  - MDT approach to enable full clinical context and knowledge of potential treatments



## Conclusions: Unmet clinical needs



- Poorly differentiated cancers and adenocarcinomas without obvious primary, especially where:
  - Current pathology equivocal for management or conflicting with clinical context
  - Diagnosis either truly unknown or includes multiple possible differentials
  - Limited tissue or **time**?
- Better prediction of treatment benefit
  - Discussion: role of molecular pathology for:
    - Site/type
    - Actionable mutations



## Acknowledgements

- Thanks to:
  - Dr Jayne Dennis
  - Dr Harpreet Wasan
  - Prof David Bowtell and colleagues
  - Colleagues in BioTheranostics
  - Prof Nicol Keith
  - Prof Jeff Evans
  - Profs Andrew Biankin & Sean Grimmond and colleagues
- CANCER RESEARCH UK
- Cancer Research UK and University of Glasgow for funding: but this presentation is my personal opinion